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#### Abstract

Several studies on resonant arrays are reported in the literature. Moreover, they are considered for the generation of narrow beams only. No work is reported on the patterns of non-resonant arrays for shaped beams. But these shaped beams are essentially used in modern radars. In view of these facts, intensive investigations are carried out in the present work to produce sector beams from thick arrays. The non-resonant spacing is proposed using a well developed formula. This formula is useful for the determination of space distribution for even and odd elements of the array.

Introducing space distribution so determined, the sector beams of specified width are realized. The radiation patterns are compared with those of specified ones. The patterns are presented in $u(\sin \theta)-$ domain.


## Introduction

Array thinning help to reduce power consumption, cost, hardware complexity and weight of the arrays. Several techniques are reported in literature for thinning [1-4]. Most of the techniques reported in the literature use unequal spacing. The problem of thinning creates grating lobes as the average spacing becomes large.

It is the practice of using resonant radiating element spacing. The spacing of $\lambda / 2$ is considered to be resonant spacing.

Ishimaru et. all reported a method of designing a thinned array using unequal spacing. The patterns are expressed in the series of the angular functions. The improvement in side lobe levels is demonstrated. In radar and radio astronomy applications, it is necessary to obtain very high resolution, which requires large physical size of the antenna. Large physical size means an array with large number of elements.

In the present work, the formulation is reused to extend it for non resonant spacing arrays. The studies include the arrays in which the element spacing is less than $\lambda / 2$.

## The Space Distribution for Thick Arrays

The space distribution for the case of thick arrays is determined using the concept of source positions described by Ishimaru [5]. For the sake of completeness, it is presented below.

For an array of N elements, the radiation pattern is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} e^{j \kappa_{n} \operatorname{Sin} \theta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a_{n}$ is the current in the $n^{\text {th }}$ element and $S_{n}$ denotes the position of the element as measured from a reference point 0 . For carrying out the transformation of the radiation pattern, $\mathrm{E}(\theta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(n) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Poisson's sum formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v) e^{j 2 m \pi v} d v  \tag{3}\\
& \text { i.e. } \quad E(\theta)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{N} f(v) e^{j 2 m \pi v} d v
\end{align*}
$$

The limit of the integration is from 0 to N because the radiation $\mathrm{E}(\theta)$ is the finite sum and $f(V)$ vanishes for $V<o$ and $V>N$. In fact, any range which covers all the Integers from 1 to N may be used. Thus, (4) may also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\theta)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon+N} f(v) e^{j 2 m \pi v} d v \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\quad 0<\varepsilon<1$
The next step in the formulation is the introduction of a new function, which is called as "Source position function" The "Source position function" is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{~V}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the position of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ when $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{n}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering $V$ in (6) as a function of $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V(s) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{s})$ is called as "source number function" as this yields the numbering of each element when $s$ is at the correct position of the element.

Changing the variable V to s , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\theta)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{N}} f(s) \frac{d v}{d s} e^{j 2 m \pi v(s)} d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For linear array problem, considering the expression in (1), we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(\theta)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{m}(\theta)  \tag{11}\\
& E_{m}(\theta)=\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{N}} A(s) \frac{d v}{d s} e^{-j(\psi(s)-2 m \pi v(s)} e^{j 2 k s \sin \theta} d s \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=a\left(s_{n}\right)=A_{n} e^{-j \psi_{n}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the amplitude of the current, $\psi_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the phase of the current in $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ element, and $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{s})$ is a function which yields $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ at $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and therefore this may be considered as an envelope of amplitude of each current. $\psi(\mathrm{s})$ is function which gives $\psi_{\mathrm{n}}$ at $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \psi_{\mathrm{n}}=\psi\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression (12) represents the radiation pattern of continuous line source, its amplitude is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(s) \frac{d v}{d s}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Phase distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\mathrm{s})-2 \mathrm{~m} \pi \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{~s}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) represents an infinite series. But it converges rapidly.
Rewriting (12) using normalized variables, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(u)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{m(N-1)} E_{m}(u)  \tag{18}\\
& E_{m}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} A(x) \frac{d y}{d x} e^{-j \psi(x)+j m \pi N(y-x)} e^{j\left(K_{a} u+m \pi N\right) x} d x \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Where
$u=\sin \theta$

```
\(2 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{s}_{0}\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y})\) normalized source position function
\(-1<x<+1\),
\(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})\) normalized source number function
\(-1<y<+1\).
```

Thus, the actual position of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ element is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=a x\left(y_{n}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If N is odd, $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{M}+1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\frac{n}{M+(1 / 2)}, \mathrm{n}=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots ., \pm \mathrm{M} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If N is even, $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\frac{n-(1 / 2)}{M} \text { for } \mathrm{n}>0, \\
& y_{n}=\frac{n+(1 / 2)}{M} \text { for } \mathrm{n}<0, \\
& \mathrm{n}= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots, \pm M . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The total length of the array is not 2 a , but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{0}=\mathrm{a}\left[\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)-\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right], \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is smaller than 2 a .
The expressions (21) and (22) provide element space distribution for odd and even element array respectively. With simple mathematical manipulations it has been possible to obtain a single expression for phase distribution valid for both odd and even element arrays. That is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\frac{2 n-1-N}{N} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ represents element position along the array.

## Results

Using equation (24), the element space distributions are compared and they are presented in tables (1-5). The computations are carried out to obtain the variation of far-field as a function of $\sin \theta$. The patterns are presented in figures (1-10). The pattern characteristics of the isotropic radiators, dipoles and waveguide in terms of beamwidth and side lobe levels for thick arrays are presented in tables (6-7).

Table 1 Thick arrays (dipole) $\mathrm{N}=21,2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=8$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.9523 |
| 2 | -0.8571 |
| 3 | -0.7619 |
| 4 | -0.6667 |
| 5 | -0.5714 |
| 6 | -0.4761 |
| 7 | -0.3809 |
| 8 | -0.2857 |
| 9 | -0.1904 |
| 10 | -0.0952 |
| 11 | 0.0000 |
| 12 | 0.0952 |
| 13 | 0.1904 |
| 14 | 0.2857 |
| 15 | 0.3809 |
| 16 | 0.4761 |
| 17 | 0.5714 |
| 18 | 0.6667 |
| 19 | 0.7619 |
| 20 | 0.8571 |
| 21 | 0.9523 |

Table 2 Thick arrays(dipole) $\mathrm{N}=41,2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=16$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{X n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.9756 |
| 2 | -0.9268 |
| 3 | -0.8780 |
| 4 | -0.8292 |
| 5 | -0.7804 |
| 6 | -0.7317 |
| 7 | -0.6829 |
| 8 | -0.6341 |
| 9 | -0.5853 |
| 10 | -0.5365 |
| 11 | -0.4878 |
| 12 | -0.4390 |
| 13 | -0.3902 |
| 14 | -0.3414 |
| 15 | -0.2926 |
| 16 | -0.2439 |


| 17 | -0.1951 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | -0.1463 |
| 19 | -0.0975 |
| 20 | -0.0487 |
| 21 | 0.0000 |
| 22 | 0.0487 |
| 23 | 0.0975 |
| 24 | 0.1463 |
| 25 | 0.1951 |
| 26 | 0.2439 |
| 27 | 0.2926 |
| 28 | 0.3414 |
| 29 | 0.3902 |
| 30 | 0.4390 |
| 31 | 0.4878 |
| 32 | 0.5365 |
| 33 | 0.5853 |
| 34 | 0.6341 |
| 35 | 0.6829 |
| 36 | 0.7317 |
| 37 | 0.7804 |
| 38 | 0.8292 |
| 39 | 0.8780 |
| 40 | 0.9268 |
| 41 | 0.9756 |

Table 3 Thick arrays (dipole) N=61, 2L/ $\lambda=25$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{X n}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{X n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.9836 | 32 | 0.0327 |
| 2 | -0.9508 | 33 | 0.6667 |
| 3 | -0.9180 | 34 | 0.0983 |
| 4 | -0.8852 | 35 | 0.1311 |
| 5 | -0.8524 | 36 | 0.1639 |
| 6 | -0.8196 | 37 | 0.1967 |
| 7 | -0.7868 | 38 | 0.2295 |
| 8 | -0.7540 | 39 | 0.2622 |
| 9 | -0.7213 | 40 | 0.2950 |
| 10 | -0.6885 | 41 | 0.3278 |
| 11 | -0.6557 | 42 | 0.3606 |
| 12 | -0.6229 | 43 | 0.3934 |
| 13 | -0.5901 | 44 | 0.4262 |
| 14 | -0.5573 | 45 | 0.4590 |


| 15 | -0.5245 | 46 | 0.4918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | -0.4918 | 47 | 0.5245 |
| 17 | -0.4590 | 48 | 0.5573 |
| 18 | -0.4262 | 49 | 0.5901 |
| 19 | -0.3934 | 50 | 0.6229 |
| 20 | -0.3606 | 51 | 0.6557 |
| 21 | -0.3278 | 52 | 0.6885 |
| 22 | -0.2950 | 53 | 0.7213 |
| 23 | -0.2622 | 54 | 0.7540 |
| 24 | -0.2295 | 55 | 0.7868 |
| 25 | -0.1967 | 56 | 0.8196 |
| 26 | -0.1639 | 57 | 0.8524 |
| 27 | -0.1311 | 58 | 0.8852 |
| 28 | -0.0983 | 59 | 0.9180 |
| 29 | -0.6667 | 60 | 0.9508 |
| 30 | -0.0327 | 61 | 0.9836 |
| 31 | 0.0000 |  |  |

Table 4 Thick arrays (dipole) N=81, 2L/ $\lambda=35$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{X n}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.9876 | 42 | 0.0246 |
| 2 | -0.9629 | 43 | 0.0493 |
| 3 | -0.9382 | 44 | 0.0740 |
| 4 | -0.9153 | 45 | 0.0987 |
| 5 | -0.8888 | 46 | 0.1234 |
| 6 | -0.8641 | 47 | 0.1481 |
| 7 | -0.8395 | 48 | 0.1728 |
| 8 | -0.8148 | 49 | 0.1975 |
| 9 | -0.7901 | 50 | 0.2222 |
| 10 | -0.7654 | 51 | 0.2469 |
| 11 | -0.7407 | 52 | 0.2716 |
| 12 | -0.7160 | 53 | 0.2962 |
| 13 | -0.6913 | 54 | 0.3209 |
| 14 | -0.6667 | 55 | 0.3456 |
| 15 | -0.6419 | 56 | 0.3703 |
| 16 | -0.6172 | 57 | 0.3950 |
| 17 | -0.5925 | 58 | 0.4197 |
| 18 | -0.5679 | 59 | 0.4444 |
| 19 | -0.5432 | 60 | 0.4691 |
| 20 | -0.5185 | 61 | 0.4938 |
| 21 | -0.4938 | 62 | 0.5185 |
| 22 | -0.4691 | 63 | 0.5432 |


| 23 | -0.4444 | 64 | 0.5679 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | -0.4197 | 65 | 0.5925 |
| 25 | -0.3950 | 66 | 0.6172 |
| 26 | -0.3703 | 67 | 0.6419 |
| 27 | -0.3456 | 68 | 0.6667 |
| 28 | -0.3209 | 69 | 0.6913 |
| 29 | -0.2962 | 70 | 0.7160 |
| 30 | -0.2716 | 71 | 0.7407 |
| 31 | -0.2469 | 72 | 0.7654 |
| 32 | -0.2222 | 73 | 0.7901 |
| 33 | -0.1975 | 74 | 0.8148 |
| 34 | -0.1728 | 75 | 0.8395 |
| 35 | -0.1481 | 76 | 0.8641 |
| 36 | -0.1234 | 77 | 0.8888 |
| 37 | -0.0987 | 78 | 0.9153 |
| 38 | -0.0740 | 79 | 0.9382 |
| 39 | -0.0493 | 80 | 0.9629 |
| 40 | -0.0246 | 81 | 0.9876 |
| 41 | -0.0000 |  |  |

Table 5 Thick arrays (dipole) $\mathrm{N}=101,2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=45$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{X n}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.9900 | 35 | -0.3168 | 69 | 0.3564 |
| 2 | -0.9702 | 36 | -0.2970 | 70 | 0.3762 |
| 3 | -0.9504 | 37 | -0.2772 | 71 | 0.3960 |
| 4 | -0.9306 | 38 | -0.2574 | 72 | 0.4158 |
| 5 | -0.9108 | 39 | -0.2376 | 73 | 0.4356 |
| 6 | -0.8910 | 40 | -0.2178 | 74 | 0.4554 |
| 7 | -0.8712 | 41 | -0.1980 | 75 | 0.4752 |
| 8 | -0.8514 | 42 | -0.1782 | 76 | 0.4950 |
| 9 | -0.8316 | 43 | -0.1584 | 77 | 0.5148 |
| 10 | -0.8118 | 44 | -0.1386 | 78 | 0.5346 |
| 11 | -0.7920 | 45 | -0.1188 | 79 | 0.5544 |
| 12 | -0.7722 | 46 | -0.0990 | 80 | 0.5742 |
| 13 | -0.7524 | 47 | -0.0792 | 81 | 0.5940 |
| 14 | -0.7326 | 48 | -0.0594 | 82 | 0.6138 |
| 15 | -0.7128 | 49 | -0.0396 | 83 | 0.6336 |
| 16 | -0.6930 | 50 | -0.0198 | 84 | 0.6534 |
| 17 | -0.6732 | 51 | 0.0000 | 85 | 0.6732 |
| 18 | -0.6534 | 52 | 0.0198 | 86 | 0.6930 |
| 19 | -0.6336 | 53 | 0.0396 | 87 | 0.7128 |
| 20 | -0.6138 | 54 | 0.0594 | 88 | 0.7326 |


| 21 | -0.5940 | 55 | 0.0792 | 89 | 0.7524 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | -0.5742 | 56 | 0.0990 | 90 | 0.7722 |
| 23 | -0.5544 | 57 | 0.1188 | 91 | 0.7920 |
| 24 | -0.5346 | 58 | 0.1386 | 92 | 0.8118 |
| 25 | -0.5148 | 59 | 0.1584 | 93 | 0.8316 |
| 26 | -0.4950 | 60 | 0.1782 | 94 | 0.8514 |
| 27 | -0.4752 | 61 | 0.1980 | 95 | 0.8712 |
| 28 | -0.4554 | 62 | 0.2178 | 96 | 0.8910 |
| 29 | -0.4356 | 63 | 0.2376 | 97 | 0.9108 |
| 30 | -0.4158 | 64 | 0.2574 | 98 | 0.9306 |
| 31 | -0.3960 | 65 | 0.2772 | 99 | 0.9504 |
| 32 | -0.3762 | 66 | 0.2970 | 100 | 0.9702 |
| 33 | -0.3564 | 67 | 0.3168 | 101 | 0.9900 |
| 34 | -0.3366 | 68 | 0.3366 |  |  |



Figure 1 : Radiation pattern for $\mathrm{N}=21$ and $2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=8$


Figure 2: Radiation pattern for $N=41$ and $2 L / \lambda=16$


Figure 3: Radiation pattern for $N=61$ and $2 L / \lambda=25$


Figure 4: Radiation pattern for $N=81$ and $2 L / \lambda=35$


Figure 5: Radiation pattern for $\mathrm{N}=101$ and $2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=45$


Figure 6: Radiation pattern for $N=21$ and $2 L / \lambda=8$


Figure 7: Radiation pattern for $\mathrm{N}=41$ and $2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=16$


Figure 8: Radiation pattern for $N=61$ and $2 L / \lambda=25$


Figure 9: Radiation pattern for $\mathrm{N}=81$ and $2 \mathrm{~L} / \lambda=35$


Figure 10 : Radiation pattern for $N=101$ and $2 L / \lambda=45$

Table 6: Array of Isotropic Radiators, Dipoles and Waveguide

| S. <br> No |  | $2 \mathbf{2 L} / \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | Beam Width (rad) |  |  | Side lobe <br> Level(dB) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Isotropic <br> Radiators | Dipoles | Waveguide | Isotropic <br> Radiators | Dipoles |  |
| Waveguide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 4 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.9548 | -- | -- |  |
| 2 | 21 | 8 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.9382 | -- | -- |  |
| 3 | 31 | 12 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.1370 | -22.01 | -24.15 |  |
| 4 | 41 | 16 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.8440 | -21.26 | -22.09 |  |
| 5 | 51 | 20 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.6708 | -27.59 |  |  |
| 6 | 61 | 25 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.5376 | -22.71 | -19.43 |  |
| 7 | 71 | 30 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.4476 | -20.54 |  |  |
| 8 | 81 | 35 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.3834 | -22.11 | -22.29 |  |
| 9 | 91 | 40 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.3350 | -23.13 |  |  |
| 10 | 101 | 45 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.2968 | -22.53 | -22.50 |  |

Table 7: Array of Isotropic Radiators, Dipoles and Waveguide

| S. <br> No | $\mathbf{N}$ | $2 \mathrm{~L} / \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | Beam Width (rad) |  | Side lobe Level(dB) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Isotropic <br> Radiators | Dipoles | Waveguide | Isotropic <br> Radiators | Dipoles | Waveguide |
| 1 | 8 | 5 | 0.7442 | 0.7442 | 0.7378 | -24.12 | -25.56 | -27.43 |
| 2 | 16 | 10 | 1.4064 | 1.4064 | 1.4018 | -18.81 | -22.84 | -28.17 |
| 3 | 24 | 15 | 0.9208 | 0.9208 | 0.4591 | -21.5 | -22.79 | -24.88 |
| 4 | 32 | 20 | 0.6818 | 0.6818 | 0.3409 | -22.03 | -22.49 | -23.51 |
| 5 | 40 | 25 | 0.5404 | 0.5404 | 0.2713 | -22.19 | -22.46 | -22.89 |
| 6 | 48 | 30 | 0.4494 | 0.4494 | 0.2257 | -22.32 | -22.5 | -22.78 |
| 7 | 56 | 35 | 0.3842 | 0.3842 | 0.1922 | -22.42 | -22.55 | -22.72 |
| 8 | 64 | 40 | 0.3370 | 0.3370 | 0.1679 | -22.44 | -22.55 | -22.63 |
| 9 | 72 | 45 | 0.2978 | 0.2978 | 0.1489 | -22.48 | -22.56 | -22.67 |
| 10 | 80 | 50 | 0.2674 | 0.2674 | 0.1338 | -22.48 | -22.55 | -22.63 |

## Conclusions

The proposed distributions in the presented work are found to yield useful radiation beam shapes for thick arrays. However, the thick arrays are found to increase the beam width marginally. As it is well-known that grating lobes appear in thinned arrays, the concept of thickening is introduced in the present work to investigate them. The results presented in this paper are useful for the array designers to produce desired shaped beams.
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