

Coefficient Inequalities for a New Subclass of K-uniformly Convex Functions

T. Ram Reddy, R.B. Sharma and K. Saroja*

*Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal,
Andhra Pradesh - 506009, India.*

*E-mail: reddytr2@yahoo.com, rbsharma_005@yahoo.co.in
sarojakasula@yahoo.com

Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce a new subclass of k-uniformly convex functions and to obtain the coefficient inequalities, Fekete-Szegö inequality for the functions in this class.

2000 Mathematic subject classification: Primary 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic function, k-uniformly convex functions, coefficient inequalities, Fekete-Szegö inequality.

Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all analytic functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

in the open unit disc $U = \{z / z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$

Let S be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent functions.

Let $S^*(\beta)$ and $C(\beta)$ be the subclasses of S consisting of the functions f of the form (1.1) and satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta$$

respectively

for some $\beta (0 \leq \beta < 1)$ and $z \in U$.

These classes are known as starlike and convex functions of order β respectively.

S. Shams, S.R. Kulkarni and J.M.Jahangiri [4] have introduced the classes $SD(k, \beta)$ and $KD(k, \beta)$ as follows.

A function $f \in A$ and satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta, \quad z \in U$$

is said to be in the class $SD(k, \beta)$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

Similarly $KD(k, \beta)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions $f \in A$ and satisfying the condition.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| + \beta, \quad z \in U \text{ for some } k \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \beta < 1.$$

For $0 \leq k \leq \beta$, these classes have been studied by S.Owa, Y.Polatoglu and E.Yavuz [2]. They have obtained the coefficient inequalities and distortion properties for the functions in these classes.

Several authors have obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the normalized analytical functions f of the form (1.1) in various subclasses of S .

In the present paper we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions and obtain the coefficient inequalities, Fekete-Szegö inequalities for the functions in this class. The results of this paper will unify and generalize the earlier results of several authors in this direction.

Definition 1.1: Let $U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \beta < 1$

with $F(z) = \lambda\alpha z^2 f''(z) + (\lambda - \alpha)zf'(z) + (1 - \lambda + \alpha)f(z)$

It is noted that

- (i) $U(0, 0, \beta, 0) = S^*(\beta)$ and $U(1, 0, \beta, 0) = C(\beta)$ are usual starlike functions of order β and convex functions of order β
- (ii) $U(0, 0, \beta, k) = SD(k, \beta)$ and $U(1, 0, \beta, k) = KD(k, \beta)$ Studied by S.Owa, Y. Polatoglu, E. Yavuz [2]

To prove our results, we require the following Lemmas.

Lemma (1.1) [3]: If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part then for any complex number v , we have

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2v-1|\}$$

This result is sharp for the functions

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \text{ and } p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

Lemma (1.2) [1]: If $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in U then for any real number v , we have

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq -4v + 2 \text{ if } v \leq 0 \leq 2 \text{ if } 0 \leq v \leq 1 \leq 4v - 2 \text{ if } v \geq 1$$

when $v < 0$ or $v > 1$ the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its

rotations. If $0 < v < 1$ then the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $v = 0$ then the equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right), \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \text{ or one of its rotations.}$$

If $v = 1$ the equality holds only for the reciprocal of $p_1(z)$ for the case $v = 0$. Also the above upper bound is sharp and it can be further improved as follows when $0 < v < 1$.

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1^2| \leq 2, \quad (0 \leq v \leq 1/2)$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v)|c_1^2| \leq 2, \quad (1/2 < v \leq 1)$$

In the next sections, we obtain the coefficient inequalities and Fekete-Szegő inequality for the function f in the class $U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Coefficient inequalities

Theorem 2.1: If $f(z) \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ with $0 \leq k \leq \beta$ then $f(z) \in U\left(\lambda, \alpha, \frac{\beta-k}{1-k}, 0\right)$

Proof: Since $f(z) \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ from definition (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} \right) &> k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta \\ \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} \right) &> k \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right) + \beta \\ \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} \right) &> \frac{\beta-k}{1-k} \quad (z \in U) \\ \Rightarrow f(z) &\in U\left(\lambda, \alpha, \frac{\beta-k}{1-k}, 0\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem

Theorem 2.2: If $f(z) \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)} \quad (2.2)$$

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{j(1-k)} \right] \text{ for } n \geq 3, \quad z \in U \quad (2.3)$$

Proof: Since $f(z) \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$, from equation (2.1) we have

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right) > \frac{\beta - k}{1 - k}$$

Define a function $p(z)$ such that

$$p(z) = \frac{(1-k) \left[\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right] - (\beta - k)}{1 - \beta} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad z \in U \quad (2.4)$$

Here $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$.

From (2.4) we get

$$(1 - \beta) \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right] [F(z)] = (1 - k) z F'(z) - (\beta - k) F(z) \quad (2.5)$$

Replacing $F(z), zF'(z)$ with their equivalent expressions in series on bothsides of the above equation (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \beta) \left[z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^{n+1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] a_n z^n + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] a_n z^n \right] \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right] \right] \\ & = (1 - k) \left[z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] a_n z^n \right] \\ & \quad - (\beta - k) \left[z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1 a_n z^n \right] \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of z^n , on both sides of the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)(n-1)[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} [c_{n-1} + (2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)a_2 c_{n-2} + \\ & [2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]a_3 c_{n-3} + \dots + [(n-3)[(n-2)\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha] + 1]a_{n-2} c_2 \\ & + [(n-2)[(n-1)\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha] + 1]a_{n-1} c_1] \dots \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

Taking Modulus on both sides of equation (2.6) and applying Caratheodory inequality $|c_n| \leq 2 \quad \forall n \geq 1$, we get,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)(n-1)[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} [1 + (2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)|a_2| +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] |a_3| + \dots + [(n-3)[(n-2)\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha] + 1] |a_{n-2}| \\
& + [(n-2)[(n-1)\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha] + 1] |a_{n-1}|
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

When $n = 2$ $|a_2| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)}$

which proves the result in (2.2)

$$\text{For } n = 3 \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \right].$$

Thus the result in (2.3) is true for $n = 3$. Suppose that the result in (2.3) is true for $4 \leq n \leq m$.

For $n = m + 1$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k) \cdot m \cdot [m[(m+1)\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha] + 1]} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1-k} \right] + \dots + \frac{2(1-\beta)^{m-2}}{(1-k)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{j(1-k)} \right] \right] \\
|a_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k) \cdot m \cdot [m[(m+1)\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha] + 1]} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{j(1-k)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result in (2.3) is true for $n = m + 1$. Therefore by Mathematical induction the result in (2.3) is true for all $n \geq 3$.

This completes the proof of the theorem (2.2)

Fekete-Szegő inequality

Theorem 3.1: If $f(z) \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$, then for any complex number μ , we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \max \{1, |2v - 1|\} \tag{3.1}$$

where $v = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1-k} \right) \left[\frac{2\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} - 1 \right]$ and the result is sharp

Proof: Since $f(z) \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ from equation (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 &= \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)} c_1 \\
a_3 &= \frac{1-\beta}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} [c_2 + (2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)a_2 c_1]
\end{aligned}$$

For any complex number μ , we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1-\beta}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} [c_2 + (2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)a_2 c_1]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\mu \left[\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(1-k)^2 [2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1]^2} c_1^2 \right] \\ a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{1-\beta}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} [c_2 - v c_1^2] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\text{where } v = \frac{1-\beta}{1-k} \left[\frac{2\mu(2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1)}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} - 1 \right]$$

Taking modulus on both sides of (3.2) and applying the Lemma [1.1], we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \max \{1, |2v - 1|\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \max \\ &\left\{ 1, \left| \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \left[\frac{2\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} - 1 \right] - 1 \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. And the result is sharp.

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &= \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}, \quad \text{if } P(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \text{ and} \\ |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &= \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \left[\left| \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \left[\frac{2\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} - 1 \right] - 1 \right| \right], \\ \text{if } P(z) &= \frac{1+z}{1-z}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (3.2):- If $f \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$, then for any real number μ and for

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \frac{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{(2-k-\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{(3-k-2\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{4(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\ & \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \left[\frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \left[4 - \frac{8\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} \right] + 2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

if $\mu \leq \sigma_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]} \\
\text{if } \sigma_1 & \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\
& \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]} \left[\frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} \left[\frac{8\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]}{(2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2} - 4 \right] - 2 \right] \\
\text{if } \mu & \geq \sigma_2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Further more if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left[\mu - \frac{(2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2}{2[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]} \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]}, \tag{3.4}$$

And if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left[1 - \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} 2\mu \frac{(2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1)-1}{(2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2} \right] \frac{|a_2|^2}{(1-k)^2 (2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2} \\
& \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof:- Since $f \in U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ from equation (3.2), we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1-\beta}{2(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]} [c_2 - v c_1^2]$$

$$\text{where } v = \frac{1-\beta}{1-k} \left[\frac{2\mu(2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1)}{(2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2} - 1 \right]$$

Taking modulus on both sides of the above equation and applying Lemma (1.2) on the right hand side, we get the following cases :

Case 1) If $\mu \leq \sigma_1$, then

$$\mu \leq \frac{(2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2}{2[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]},$$

which on simplification, we get,

$$\Rightarrow v \leq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow |c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq -4v + 2.$$

$$|c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq \left[\frac{1-\beta}{1-k} \right] \left[4 - \frac{8\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)+1]}{(2\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha+1)^2} \right] + 2. \tag{3.6}$$

Case 2) If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then,

$$\frac{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(2-k-\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}$$

After simplification, we get,

$$0 \leq v \leq 1.$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq 2. \quad (3.7)$$

Case 3) If $\mu \geq \sigma_2$, then,

$$\mu \geq \frac{(2-k-\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]},$$

after simplification, we get,

$$\Rightarrow v \geq 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow |c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq 4v - 2.$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{1-k} \left[\frac{8\mu[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} - 4 \right] - 2. \quad (3.8)$$

From equations (3.2), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get the result in (3.3)

Case 4) Further more if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then,

$$\frac{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(3-k-2\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{4(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}$$

After simplification, we get,

$$0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1^2| \leq 2.$$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left[\mu - \frac{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{1-\beta}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}$$

Which prove the result in (3.4)

Case 5) Further more if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then,

$$\frac{(3-k-2\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{4(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(2-k-\beta)(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2}{2(1-\beta)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}$$

After simplification, we get,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1.$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v)|c_1^2| \leq 2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + & \left[1 - \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)} 2\mu \frac{(2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1) - 1}{(2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} \right] \frac{|a_2|^2}{(1-k)^2 (2\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha + 1)^2} \\
& \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-k)[2(3\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]}
\end{aligned}$$

Which prove the result in (3.5)

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

References

- [1] Ma. W and Minda D.: “A unified treatment of some special class of univalent functions in proceedings of the conference on complex analysis (Tianjin 1992) – Z. Li. F. Ren, L. Yang and S. Zhang Eds Conf. Proc. Lecture notes Anal pp 157-169. International Press, Cambridge Mass, USA, 1994.
- [2] Owa S., Polatoglu Y. and Yuval E.: Coefficient Inequalities for classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions. JIPAM volume 7 (2006), Issue 5 Article 16.
- [3] Ravichandran V., Polatoglu Y., Bolcol M. and Sen A.:–, “Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and statistics, 34 (2005), 9-15.
- [4] Robertson M.S.:– On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. Math.37 (1936), 374-408.
- [5] Shamas S., Kulkarni S.R. and Jahangiri J.M.:– “Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions Int. J. Math, Math. Sci., 55, (2004), 2959-2961.

