

## A note on frames for operators in Banach spaces

**Mayur Puri Goswami<sup>1</sup> and H. K. Pathak**

*School of Studies in Mathematics,  
Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University  
Raipur (C.G.) 492010 India.*

### Abstract

In this paper, we study frames for bounded linear operators and defined the notion of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for Banach spaces. A necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of bounded linear operators to be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame has been given. Some characterizations of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frames have been discussed. Further, a method has been given to generate a  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame using a Schauder frame. In the sequel, an application of this method has been demonstrated.

**AMS subject classification:** primary 42C15, secondary 46B15.

**Keywords:** Banach frame, operator Banach frame,  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame,  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame.

## 1. Introduction

Hilbert frames were formally introduced by Duffin and Scheaffer [8] in 1952 for Hilbert spaces. A natural extension of the Hilbert frame to Banach spaces was introduced by Grochenig in [9], called Banach frame. Since then a number of generalizations of frames in Hilbert and Banach spaces have been appeared in the literature some of them are  $X_d$ -frame [2],  $p$ -frame [1],  $G$ -frame [17], fusion frame [4], fusion Banach frame [12], retro Banach frame [13] etc. The introductory part of Hilbert frames and related concepts can be found in the textbook by Christensen [7] and articles by Casazza [3, 5].

Operator frames for Hilbert spaces were studied by Li and Cao in [15]. In 2012, Chun Yan Li [16] generalized operator frames from Hilbert spaces to Banach spaces. Recently, operator Banach frames in Banach spaces were introduced and studied by Chander Shekhar [6]. During the study of reconstruction property in Banach frame

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding Author.

theory, Kaushik et al.[14] introduced the concept of Banach  $\Lambda$ -frame by setting the space of bounded linear operators. This notion was further generalized by the present authors in [10] and defined  $\Lambda$ -Banach frames for operator spaces.

In the present paper, we define the notion of associated operator frames for operator spaces and called it  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame. Some characterizations of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frames have been given which generalizes some results of Casazza et al.[2]. Moreover, we develop a method to construct  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame by Schauder frames. Finally, we illustrate this method by providing an application in the  $l_1$  space.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper,  $X$  denote a Banach space and  $X^*$  denote a dual space of  $X$ . We assume that  $\{X_i\}$  be a sequence of Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). The family of bounded linear operators from a Banach space  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$  will be denoted by  $B(X, Y)$ . If  $X = Y$ , then we write  $B(X, Y) = B(X)$ . An operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is said to be coercive if there exists  $m > 0$  such that  $\|T(x)\| \geq m\|x\|$ , for all  $x \in X$ . The range of  $T$  will be denoted by  $Ran(T)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [2] A sequence space  $X_d$  is called a *BK*-space if it is a Banach space and the coordinate functionals are continuous on  $X_d$ , i.e. the relations  $x_n = \{\alpha_j^{(n)}\}$ ,  $x = \{\alpha_j\} \in X_d$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  imply that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j^{(n)} = \alpha_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** [9] Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $X_d$  be an associated Banach space of scalar valued sequences, indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$  and  $S : X_d \rightarrow X$  be given. The pair  $(\{f_n\}, S)$  is called a *Banach frame* for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$  if

- (i)  $\{f_n(x)\} \in X_d$ , for each  $x \in X$ ,
- (ii) there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  with  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A\|x\|_X \leq \|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X, \quad (1)$$

- (iii)  $S$  is a bounded linear operator such that

$$S(\{f_n(x)\}) = x, \quad x \in X.$$

The positive constants  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, are called *lower* and *upper frame bounds* of the Banach frame  $(\{f_n\}, S)$ . The operator  $S : X_d \rightarrow X$  is called the *reconstruction operator* (or, the *pre frame operator*). The inequality (1) is called the *frame inequality*.

**Definition 2.3.** [6] Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of Banach spaces and  $T_i \in B(X, X_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associated Banach space and  $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  be an operator. Then  $(\{T_i\}, S)$  is called an *operator Banach frame* (OBF) for  $X$  with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  if

- (i)  $\{T_i f\} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f \in X$ ,
- (ii) there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  with  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A\|f\|_X \leq \|\{T_i f\}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq B\|f\|_X, \quad f \in X. \quad (2)$$

- (iii)  $S$  is a bounded linear operator such that

$$S(\{T_i f\}) = f, \quad f \in X.$$

The positive constants  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, are called lower and upper frame bounds for the *OBF* ( $\{T_i\}$ ,  $S$ ). The inequality (2) is called the frame inequality for the *OBF*. The operator  $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  is called the reconstruction operator.

**Definition 2.4.** [10] Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ ,  $\Lambda \in B(X, Y)$  and  $S : \mathcal{B}_d \rightarrow B(X, Y)$  be an operator, where  $\mathcal{B}_d$  be a Banach space of vector valued sequences associated with  $Y$ . Then  $(\{x_n\}, \Lambda, S)$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame for  $B(X, Y)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_d$ , if

- (i)  $\{\Lambda(x_n)\} \in \mathcal{B}_d$ ,  $\Lambda \in B(X, Y)$
- (ii) there exist constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A\|\Lambda\| \leq \|\{\Lambda(x_n)\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} \leq B\|\Lambda\|, \quad \Lambda \in B(X, Y) \quad (3)$$

- (iii)  $S$  is a bounded linear operator such that

$$S(\{\Lambda(x_n)\}) = \Lambda, \quad \Lambda \in B(X, Y).$$

**Definition 2.5.** [11] Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A pair  $(\{x_n\}, \{f_n\})$  (where  $\{x_n\} \in X$ ,  $\{f_n\} \in X^*$ ) is called a *Schauder frame* for  $X$  if

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)x_n, \quad \text{for all } x \in X \quad (4)$$

where the series in (4) converges in the norm topology of  $X$ .

### 3. $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame

Let us begin with the following definition of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\{X_i\}$  be a sequence of Banach spaces. Let  $X_d$  be a *BK*-space. A countable family  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  is called an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$  if

- (i)  $\{\Lambda_i(x)\} \in X_d, x \in X.$
- (ii) there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  with  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X. \quad (5)$$

The positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  are called lower and upper  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds for  $X$ , respectively. The inequality (5) is called  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame inequality. If upper inequality in (5) is satisfied, then  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  is called an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -Bessel sequence for  $X$  with Bessel bound  $B$ . The operator  $T : X \rightarrow X_d$  given by  $T(x) = \{\Lambda_i(x)\}, x \in X$  is called an *analysis operator*. If there exists a bounded linear operator  $S : X_d \rightarrow X$  such that  $S(\{\Lambda_i(x)\}) = x$ , for each  $x \in X$ , then the system  $(\{\Lambda_i\}, S)$  becomes an operator Banach frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$ . The operator  $S$  is called a *pre-frame operator* for  $\{\Lambda_i\}$ .

Casazza et al. (in Theorem 2.1 [2]) characterized the Banach space  $X$  which have an  $X_d$ -frame with respect to a given  $BK$ -space  $X_d$ . Following result generalizes Theorem 2.1 [2] and provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  to be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to an associated Banach space  $X_d$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** A sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$  if and only if  $X$  is isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $X_d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $B$  are the  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds for  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame  $\{\Lambda_i\}$ , then the  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame inequality is given by

$$A\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X. \quad (6)$$

By using lower frame inequality in (6), the analysis operator  $T$  of  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  is coercive. Thus  $T$  is injective and has closed range. From the inverse mapping theorem,  $X$  is isomorphic to the range  $T(X)$ , which is a closed subspace of  $X_d$ .

For the reverse part, assume that  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $X_d$  and  $U$  is an isomorphism from  $X$  onto  $M$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}$  be the sequence of coordinate operators on  $X_d$  then  $\mathcal{W}_i(\{z_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) = z_i$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Choose  $\Lambda_i(x) = \mathcal{W}_i U(x), i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\|x\| = \|U^{-1}U(x)\| \leq \|U^{-1}\| \|Ux\|.$$

So that,

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\|U^{-1}\|} \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\| = \|\{\mathcal{W}_i U(x)\}\| = \|Ux\| \leq \|U\| \|x\|, x \in X.$$

That is

$$A\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $A = \frac{1}{\|U^{-1}\|}$  and  $B = \|U\|$ . Hence,  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$ . ■

In Theorem 2.4 [2] Casazza et al., gave a characterization of a Banach space  $X$  possessing Banach frame. We now generalize Theorem 2.4 [2] and obtained a necessary and sufficient condition of a Banach space  $X$  to possess an *OBF* with respect to  $X_d$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** A system  $(\{\Lambda_i\}, S)$  is an *OBF* for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$  if and only if  $X$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $X_d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\{\Lambda_i\}, S)$  be an *OBF* for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$ . Let  $T$  and  $S$  be analysis operator and pre-frame operator, respectively, for the *OBF*  $(\{\Lambda_i\}, S)$ . Then  $ST = I$  is an identity operator on  $X$ . Choose  $P = TS$ . Then  $P^2 = P$  and  $\text{Ran}(P) = \text{Ran}(T)$ . Therefore,  $P$  is a projection from  $X_d$  to the range of  $T$ . Thus  $T : X \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$  is an isomorphism and  $\text{Ran}(T)$  is complemented subspace of  $X_d$ .

For the reverse part, if  $U : X \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism, where  $M$  is the complemented subspace of  $X_d$ . Then, by Theorem 3.2,  $(\{\Lambda_i\}, S)$  is an *OBF* for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$ . ■

If  $\{x_n\}$  is a Hilbert frame for a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $V : H \rightarrow H$  be an invertible operator, then  $\{Vx_n\}$  is a Hilbert frame for  $H$  (see Corollary 5.3.2 in [7]). Next, we extend this result to the class  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$  and  $V \in B(X)$  be an invertible operator. Then  $\{\Lambda_i V\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $\frac{A}{\|V^{-1}\|}$  and  $B\|V\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$ . Then, there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  with  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

So that we can write,

$$A\|Vx\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(Vx)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|Vx\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Since  $V$  is invertible, we obtain

$$A\|V^{-1}\|^{-1}\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(Vx)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|V\|\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Hence,  $\{\Lambda_i V\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $X_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with frame bounds  $\frac{A}{\|V^{-1}\|}$  and  $B\|V\|$ . ■

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$  and  $V : X \rightarrow X$  be an isometry. Then  $\{\Lambda_i V\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with the same bounds.

*Proof.* Straight forward. ■

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$  and  $(I + V) \in B(X)$  be an invertible operator. Then  $\{\Lambda_i + \Lambda_i V\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $\frac{A}{\|(I + V)^{-1}\|}$  and  $B(1 + \|V\|)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d$ . Then, there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  with  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

So that we can write,

$$A\|Vx\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(Vx)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|Vx\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Thus, for each  $x \in X$ , we compute

$$\|(\Lambda_i + \Lambda_i V)x\|_{X_d} \leq B(1 + \|V\|)\|x\|_X.$$

Again, since  $(I + V)$  is invertible, we compute

$$A\|(I + V)^{-1}\|^{-1}\|x\|_X \leq A\|(I + V)(x)\| \leq \|(\Lambda_i + \Lambda_i V)(x)\|_{X_d}.$$

Thus, the required  $\Lambda$ -frame inequality is

$$A\|(I + V)^{-1}\|^{-1}\|x\|_X \leq \|(\Lambda_i + \Lambda_i V)(x)\|_{X_d} \leq B(1 + \|V\|)\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Hence,  $\{\Lambda_i + \Lambda_i V\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with frame bounds  $\frac{A}{\|(I + V)^{-1}\|}$  and  $B(1 + \|V\|)$ .  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be a sequence of Banach spaces. Define for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus_p X_i &= \left\{ \{x_i\} : x_i \in X_i, i \in \mathbb{N}, \|\{x_i\}\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\} \\ \text{and } \oplus_{\infty} X_i &= \left\{ \{x_i\} : x_i \in X_i, i \in \mathbb{N}, \|\{x_i\}\|_{\infty} = \sup \|x_i\| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{Y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of Banach spaces. Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}$  be sequences of operators in  $B(X, X_i)$  and  $B(Y, Y_i)$ , respectively. In the next result we shall show that, if  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frames for  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, then  $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Theta_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X \oplus Y$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $Y$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X \oplus Y$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ , there are frame bounds  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  ( $0 < A_1 \leq B_1 < \infty$ ) satisfying

$$A_1\|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B_1\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X. \tag{7}$$

Also,  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B(Y, Y_i)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $Y$  with respect to  $Y_d = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Y_i$ , the  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds are given by  $A_2, B_2$  (say). Then the corresponding frame inequality is given by

$$A_2 \|y\|_Y \leq \|\{\Theta_i(y)\}\|_{Y_d} \leq B_2 \|y\|_Y, \quad y \in Y. \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) we obtain

$$A_1 \|x\|_X + A_2 \|y\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\} + \{\Theta_i(y)\}\| \leq B_1 \|x\|_X + B_2 \|y\|_X. \quad (9)$$

Let  $A = \min\{A_1, A_2\}$  and  $B = \max\{B_1, B_2\}$ . Then we get

$$A \|x \oplus y\|_{X \oplus Y} \leq \|\{(\Lambda_i \oplus \Theta_i)(x \oplus y)\}\|_{Z_d} \leq B \|x \oplus y\|_{X \oplus Y}.$$

Hence,  $\{(\Lambda_i \oplus \Theta_i)\}$  is a  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X \oplus Y$  with respect to  $Z_d = (X_d \oplus Y_d)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 3.8.** If  $\Lambda_i = \{\Lambda_{ij}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X_i$  with respect to  $\bigoplus_j X_{ij} = X_d^i$  with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  such that  $\inf A_i = A > 0$  and  $\sup B_i = B < \infty$ . Then  $\Lambda = \{\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_i\}$  is a  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$  with respect to  $Z_d = \bigoplus_i X_d^i$  with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $A$  and  $B$ .

Similar to Hilbert frames [7], next result shows that the image of an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame under a bounded linear operator is also an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d = \bigoplus X_i$  and  $S : X \rightarrow X$  be a bounded operator. Then  $\{\Lambda_i S\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with respect to  $X_d = \bigoplus X_i$  if and only if  $S$  is bounded below.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i S\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with frame bounds  $m$  and  $n$ . Then we have

$$m \|x\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i S(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq n \|x\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds for  $\{\Lambda_i\}$ . Then we have

$$A \|Sx\|_X \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(Sx)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B \|Sx\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$m \|x\|_X \leq B \|Sx\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

This shows that  $\|Sx\| \geq \delta \|x\|_X$ , where  $\delta = \frac{m}{B} > 0$ . Hence,  $S$  is bounded below. Further, assume that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\|S(x)\| \geq \delta \|x\|_X$ . Then, we obtain

$$A\delta \|x\|_X \leq A \|Sx\| \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(Sx)\}\| \leq B \|Sx\| \leq B \|S\| \|x\|_X,$$

therefore,  $\{\Lambda_i S\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $A\delta$  and  $B \|S\|$ .  $\blacksquare$

In the next two results, we obtain sufficient conditions for a sequence of operators in  $B(X, X_i)$  to be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$ , with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $A$  and  $B$  and let  $\{\Theta_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -Bessel sequence for  $X$  with bound  $M < A$ , then  $\{\Lambda_i \pm \Theta_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $(A - M)$  and  $(B + M)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the analysis operators  $\mathcal{S} : X \rightarrow X_d$  and  $\mathcal{Q} : X \rightarrow X_d$  for  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -Bessel sequences  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}$  are given by  $\mathcal{S}(x) = \{\Lambda_i(x)\}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = \{\Theta_i(x)\}$ , respectively. Then, for every  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda_i \pm \Theta_i)(x)\| &= \|\mathcal{S}(x) \pm \mathcal{Q}(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\| + \|\{\Theta_i(x)\}\| \\ &\leq (B + M)\|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\{(\Lambda_i \pm \Theta_i)(x)\}$  is a Bessel sequence for  $X$ . We also have for  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Lambda_i + \Theta_i)(x)\| &= \|\mathcal{S}(x) + \mathcal{Q}(x)\| \\ &\geq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\| - \|\{\Theta_i(x)\}\| \\ &\geq (A - M)\|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{\Lambda_i \pm \Theta_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$ , with respect to  $X_d$  and having desired  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame bounds  $(A - M)$  and  $(B + M)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame for  $X$  with frame bounds  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $\{\Theta_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  be such that  $\{\Theta_i(x)\} \in X_d$ , for all  $x \in X$  and let  $\{\Lambda_i + \Theta_i\}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -Bessel sequence for  $X$  and with bound  $M < A$ . Then  $\{\Theta_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  with bounds  $(A - M)$  and  $(B + M)$ .

*Proof.* The  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -frame inequality for the  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame  $\{\Lambda_i\} \subset B(X, X_i)$  is given by

$$A\|x\| \leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{X_d} \leq B\|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Since,  $\{\Lambda_i + \Theta_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -Bessel sequence for  $X$ , we have

$$\|(\Lambda_i + \Theta_i)(x)\| \leq M\|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Thus we compute,

$$\begin{aligned}(A - M)\|x\| &\leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\| - \|\{(\Lambda_i + \Theta_i)(x)\}\| \\ &\leq \|\{\Theta_i(x)\}\| \\ &\leq \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\| + \|\{(\Lambda_i + \Theta_i)(x)\}\| \\ &\leq (B + M)\|x\|, \quad x \in X.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{\Theta_i\}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -operator frame for  $X$  having desired frame bounds  $(A - M)$  and  $(B + M)$ .  $\blacksquare$

#### 4. $\Lambda$ -Banach frame

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. We now give a characterization of the Schauder frame that the Banach space  $B(X, Y)$  of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  is isomorphic to the Banach space  $\mathcal{B}_d$  given by (10) associated with  $Y$ . Consequently, we can generate a  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame by Schauder frame as shown in Theorem 3.3 below. Before proceed to the main result we need to prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and let  $\{x_n\} \subset X$ ,  $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$  be sequences such that  $f_n(x) \neq 0$ , ( $x \in X$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $\mathcal{B}_d$  be the linear space of sequences of elements

$$\mathcal{B}_d = \left\{ \{z_n\} \subset Y \mid \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) z_i \right\|_Y < \infty \right\} \quad (10)$$

associated with  $Y$  and endowed with the norm

$$\|\{z_n\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) z_i \right\|_Y. \quad (11)$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}_d$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.* If  $\|\{z_n\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} = 0$ , then  $\sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \|f_1(x) z_1\| = 0$ . This gives  $z_1 = 0$  and hence,

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^2 f_i(x) z_i \right\| = \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \|f_2(x) z_2\| = 0$$

gives  $z_2 = 0$ . Continuing in this way, we obtain  $z_n = 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Hence, norm given in (13) is well defined.

Now we shall show that the space  $\mathcal{B}_d$  defined in (10) is a Banach space. Let  $\{z_n^{(k)}\}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_d$ . Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\|\{z_n^{(k)}\} - \{z_n^{(m)}\}\| = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) (z_i^{(k)} - z_i^{(m)}) \right\|_Y < \epsilon, \quad (k, m > n_0).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n(x)(z_n^{(k)} - z_n^{(m)})\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)(z_i^{(k)} - z_i^{(m)}) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(x)(z_i^{(k)} - z_i^{(m)}) \right\| \\ &< 2\epsilon \quad (k, m > n_0, n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_n(x) \neq 0$ , for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\|z_n^{(k)} - z_n^{(m)}\| < \frac{2\epsilon}{|f_n(x)|}.$$

Consequently, for each  $n \geq 1$  the sequence of vectors  $z_n^{(k)}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is convergent to a vector  $z_n$ . Hence, from the inequalities

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)(z_i^{(k)} - z_i^{(m)}) \right\| < \epsilon, \quad (k, m > n_0; n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We obtain for  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)(z_i^{(k)} - z_i) \right\| \leq \epsilon, \quad (k > n_0; n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+l} f_i(x)z_i \right\| - \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+l} f_i(x)z_i^{(k)} \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+l} f_i(x)(z_i - z_i^{(k)}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+l} f_i(x)(z_i - z_i^{(k)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)(z_i - z_i^{(k)}) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+l} f_i(x)(z_i - z_i^{(k)}) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)(z_i - z_i^{(k)}) \right\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+l} f_i(x)z_i \right\| \leq 2\epsilon + \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+l} f_i(x)z_i^{(k)} \right\|.$$

Since, each series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)z_i^{(k)}$  is convergent and since  $Y$  is complete, it follows that

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)z_i$  converges, so that

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i \right\|_Y < \infty.$$

Hence,  $\{z_n\} \in \mathcal{B}_d$ . Moreover,

$$\|z_n^{(k)} - z_n\| = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)(z_i^{(k)} - z_i) \right\|_Y \leq \epsilon, \quad (k > n_0).$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{B}_d$  is a Banach space associated with  $Y$ . ■

We now prove the aforesaid result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and let  $(\{x_n\}, \{f_n\})$  (where  $\{x_n\} \subset X$ ,  $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$ ) be a Schauder frame for  $X$  such that  $f_n(x) \neq 0$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then the Banach space  $B(X, Y)$  is isomorphic, by the mapping  $\Lambda \mapsto \{\Lambda(x_n)\}$ , to the Banach space of sequences of elements

$$\mathcal{B}_d = \left\{ \{z_n\} \subset Y \mid \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i \right\|_Y < \infty \right\} \quad (12)$$

associated with  $Y$  and endowed with the norm

$$\|\{z_n\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i \right\|_Y. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, the system  $(\{x_n\}, \Lambda, S)$  is a  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame for  $B(X, Y)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_d$ , where  $S : \mathcal{B}_d \rightarrow B(X, Y)$  be the corresponding  $\Lambda$ -frame operator.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1,  $\mathcal{B}_d$  is a Banach space associated with  $Y$ . Now, let  $\Lambda \in B(X, Y)$  be arbitrary. Put  $\Lambda(x_n) = z_n$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and define  $\{\Lambda_n\} \subset B(X, Y)$  by

$$\Lambda_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i, \quad (x \in X, n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we have, since  $(\{x_n\}, \{f_n\})$  is a Schauder frame,

$$\Lambda(x) = \Lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)z_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n(x), \quad (x \in X).$$

Hence, by the principle of uniform boundedness

$$\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i \right\|_Y = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|\Lambda_n\| < \infty$$

and thus  $\{z_n\} = \{\Lambda(x_n)\} \in \mathcal{B}_d$ . Also,

$$\|\Lambda\| \leq \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|\Lambda_n\| = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i \right\|_Y = \|\{\Lambda(x_n)\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d}.$$

On the other hand, for every  $x \in X$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)z_i \right\|_Y = \left\| \Lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \right) \right\|_Y \leq \|\Lambda\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \right\|_Y \leq B\|\Lambda\|,$$

where,  $B = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \right\|_Y < \infty$ . Hence,

$$\|\{\Lambda(x_n)\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} \leq B\|\Lambda\|.$$

Since,  $\Lambda \in B(X, Y)$  was arbitrary, we obtain the  $\Lambda$ -frame inequality

$$\|\Lambda\| \leq \|\{\Lambda(x_n)\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} \leq B\|\Lambda\|, \quad \Lambda \in B(X, Y).$$

This proves that the mapping  $\Lambda \mapsto \{\Lambda(x_n)\}$  is an isomorphism of  $B(X, Y)$  into  $\mathcal{B}_d$ . Define,  $S : \mathcal{B}_d \rightarrow B(X, Y)$  by  $S(\{\Lambda(x_n)\}) = \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda \in B(X, Y)$ . Then  $S$  is a bounded linear operator and hence  $(\{x_n\}, \Lambda, S)$  is a  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame for  $X$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_d$ . ■

Finally, we prove the following result as an application of Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $X = l_1$  and  $Y$  be an arbitrary Banach space. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors in  $X$ . Then  $B(X, Y)$  is linearly isometric by the mapping  $\Lambda \mapsto \{\Lambda(x_n)\}$  to the Banach space of sequences of elements

$$\mathcal{B}_d = \{\{z_n\} \subset F \mid \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|z_n\| < \infty\} = l_\infty$$

associated with  $Y$  and endowed with the norm

$$\|\{z_n\}\|_{\mathcal{B}_d} = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|z_n\|. \quad (14)$$

Moreover, the system  $(\{x_n\}, \Lambda, S)$  is a  $\Lambda$ -Banach frame for  $B(X, Y)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_d$ , where  $S : \mathcal{B}_d \rightarrow B(X, Y)$  be the corresponding  $\Lambda$ -frame operator.

*Proof.* Define a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $X^*$  by

$$f_n(x) = \xi_n, \quad \text{where } x = \{\xi_n\} \in l^1.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)x_i = x.$$

Hence,  $(\{x_n\}, \{f_n\})$  is a Schauder frame for  $X$ . Also,  $\mathcal{B}_d$  is a Banach space with norm given by (14). Further,

$$\|\{z_n\}\| = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i z_i \right\| = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|z_n\|.$$

Hence, result follows by applying Theorem 3.3. ■

## References

- [1] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun and W. Tang,  $p$ -frames and shift invariant subspaces of  $L^p$ , J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 7 (2001)(1), 1–21.
- [2] P. G. Casazza, O. Christensen and D.T. Stoeva, Frame expansions in separable Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 307 (2005), 710–723.
- [3] P. G. Casazza, The art of frame theory, Taiwanese J. Math., 4(2), (2000), 129–201.
- [4] P.G. Casazza, and G. Kutyniok, Frames of subspaces, in Wavelets, Frames and Operator Theory, Contemp. Math., 345 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004), 87–113.
- [5] P. G. Casazza, D. Han and D. R. Larson, Frames for Banach spaces, Contemp. Math. 18(2-4) (2003), 357–385.
- [6] Chander Shekhar, Operator Banach frames in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. 6(3) (2015), 17–26.
- [7] O. Christensen, An introduction to frames and Riesz bases, Birkhauser, Boston (2003).
- [8] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Scheaffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72, (1952), 341–366.
- [9] K. Grochenig, Describing functions: Atomic decompositions versus frames, Monatsh. Math., 112 (1991), 1-41.
- [10] Mayur Puri Goswami and H. K. Pathak, Some results on  $\Lambda$ -Banach frames for operator spaces. (Submitted)
- [11] D. Han and D. R. Larson, Frames Bases and group representations, Memo. Amer. Math. Soc., 147 (697) (2000), 1–91.
- [12] P. K. Jain, S. K. Kaushik and Varinder Kumar, Frames of subspaces for Banach spaces, Int. J. Wavelets, Multiresolut. Inf. Process. 8(2), 243–252 (2010).
- [13] P. K. Jain, S. K. Kaushik and L. K. Vashisht, Banach frames for conjugate Banach spaces, Z. Anal. Anwendungen, 23(4), (2004), 713–720.
- [14] S. K. Kaushik, L. K. Vashisht, and G. Khattar, Reconstruction property and frames in Banach spaces, Palestine J. Math., 3(1), 2014, 11–26.
- [15] C. Y. Li and H. X. Cao, Operator frames for  $B(H)$ . In: Qian, T., Vai, M. I., Yuesheng, X. (eds.) Wavelet analysis and applications. Applications of numerical Harmonic Analysis, Springer, Berlin, 67–82.
- [16] Chun Yan Li, Operator frames for Banach spaces, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 6 (2012), 1–21.
- [17] W. C. Sun,  $G$ -frames and  $G$ -Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322 (2006), 437–452.