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#### Abstract

: This paper deals with free products of semi rings, sub semi rings, external free products of semi rings related to monomorphisms and homomorphisms.
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## INTRODUCTION:

We now consider semi rings $S$ that are not necessarily abelian. In this case, we write $S$ multiplicatively. We denote the identity element of $S$ by 1 , and the inverse of the elements of $x$ by $x^{-1}$. The symbol $x^{n}$ denotes the $n$-fold product of $x$ with itself, $x^{-n}$ denotes the $n$-fold product of $x^{-1} \quad$ with itself, and $x^{0}$ denotes 1 .

In this section, we study a concept that plays a role for arbitrary semi rings similar to that played by the direct sum for Commutative semi rings. It is called the Free product of semi rings.

Let $S$ be a semi ring. If $\left\{S_{a}\right\}_{a J}$ is a family of subsemi rings of $S$, we say (as before) that these semi rings generate $S$ if every element $x$ of $S$ can be written as a finite product of elements of the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$. This means that there is a finite sequence ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) of elements of the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ such that $x=x_{1} \ldots \ldots . x_{n}$. Such a sequence is called a word (of length n ) in the semi rings $S_{a ;}$ it is said to represent the element $x$ of $S$.

Note that because we lack commutativity, we cannot rearrange the factors in the expression for $x$ so as to semi ring together factors that belong to a single one of the semi rings $S_{\alpha .}$ However, if $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ both belong to the same semi ring $S_{\sigma}$, we can semi ring them together, there by obtaining the word

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i} x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots \ldots, x_{n}\right),
$$

Of length $n^{-} 1$, which also represents $x$. Furthernore, if any $x_{i}$ equals 1 , we can delete $x_{i}$ from the sequence, again obtaining a shorter word that represents $x$.

Applying these reduction operations repeatedly, one can in general obtain a word representing $x$ of the form $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$, where no semi ring $S_{a}$ contain both $y_{i}$ and $y_{i+1}$, and where $y_{i} l$ for all $i$. Such a word is called a reduced word. This discussion does not apply, however, if x is the identity element of $S$. For in that case, one might represent $x$ by a word such as $\left(a, a^{-1}\right)$, which reduces successively to the word $\left(a, a^{-1}\right)$ of length one, and then disappears altogether! Accordingly, we make the convention that the empty set is considered to be a reduced word (of length zero) that represents the identity element of $S$. With this convention, it is true that if the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ generate $S$, then every elementof $S$ can be represented by reduced word in the elements of the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$.

Note that if $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{l}, \ldots . ., y_{m}\right)$ are words representing $x$ and $y$, respectively, then $\left(x_{1}, \ldots ., x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots . y_{m}\right)$ is a word representing $x y$. Even if the first two words are reduced words, however, the third will not be a reduced word unless none of the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ contains both $x_{n}$ and $y_{l}$.

Definition 1. Let $S$ be a semi ring, $\left\{S_{a\} \alpha J}\right.$ be a family of subsemi rings of $S$ that generates $S$. Suppose that $S_{\alpha}$ consists of the identity element alone whenever $\alpha \beta$. We say that $S$ is the free product of the semi rings $\left\{S_{a\}}\right\}$ if for each $x S$, there is only one reduced word in the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ that represents $x$. In this case, we write

Or in the finite case, $S=S_{I} * \cdots * S_{n}$.
Let $S$ be the free product of the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$, and let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a word in the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ satisfying the conditions $x_{i} \neq 1$ for all $i$, Then, for each $i$, there is a unique index $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that $x_{i} S_{\alpha i}$; to say the word is a reduced word is to say simply that $\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ for each $i$.

Suppose the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ generate $S$, where $S_{\alpha} S_{\beta}=\{1\}$ for $\alpha \beta$. In order for $S$ to be the free product of these semi rings, it suffices to know the representation of 1 by the empty word is unique. For suppose this weaker condition holds, and suppose that $\left(x_{l}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{l}, \ldots ., y_{m}\right)$ are two reduced words that represent the same element $x$ of $S$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ be the indices such that $x_{i} S_{a i}$ and $y_{i} S_{\beta \mathrm{i} \text {. }}$

Since
The word

$$
x_{1} \ldots x_{n}=x=y_{1} \ldots y_{m}
$$

$$
\left(y_{m}^{-1}, \ldots, y_{1}^{-1}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

represents 1 . It must be possible to reduce this word, so we must have $\alpha_{l}=\beta_{l}$; the word then reduces the word

$$
\left(y_{m}^{-1} \ldots y_{1}^{-1}, x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) .
$$

Again, it must be possible to reduce this word, so we must have $y_{1}^{-1} x_{1}=1$. Then $x_{I}=y_{l}$, so that 1 is represented by the word

$$
\left(y_{m}^{-1} \ldots y_{2}^{-1}, x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right)
$$

The argument continues similarly. One concludes finally that $m=n$ and $x_{i}=y_{i}$ for all i.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the semi ring $P$ of bi-junctions of the set $\{0,1,2\}$ with itself
.For $\mathrm{I}=1,2$ define an element $\pi_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $P$ by setting $\pi_{\mathrm{i}}(i)=i-1$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{i}}(i-1)=\mathrm{i}$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{j}}(j)$ $=j$ otherwise. Then $\pi_{i}$ generates a subsemi ring $S_{i}$ of $P$ of order 2 . The semi rings $S_{I}$ and $S_{2}$ generates $P$, as you can check. But $P$ is not their free product. The reduced words ( $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{1}$ ) and ( $\pi_{2}, \pi_{1}, \pi_{1}$ ) for instance, represent the same element of $P$.

The free product satisfies an extension condition analogues to that satisfied by the direct sum:

Lemma 1. Let $S$ be a semi ring; Let $\left\{S_{a}\right\}$ be a family of subsemi rings of $S$. If $S$ is the free product of the semi rings $S_{a}$, then $S$ satisifies the following condition:

Given any semi ring $K$ and any family of homomorphisms $h_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha}(*)$
K , there exists a homomorphism k: $S K$ whose restriction $S_{\alpha}$ equals $k_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha$.

Furthermore, k is unique.
The converse of this lemma holds, but the proof is not as easy as it was for direct sums. We postpone it until later.

Proof. Given $x S$ let $x \neq 1$, let $\left(x_{l}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be the reduced word that represents $x$. If $k$ exists, it must satisfy the equation

$$
\left(^{*}\right) k(x)=k\left(x_{l}\right) \ldots . \ldots\left(x_{n}\right)=k_{\alpha l}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots . . k_{\alpha n}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

Where $\alpha_{i}$ is the index such that $x i$. Hence k is unique.
To show k exists, we define it by equation $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) if $x \neq 1$ and set $k(1)=1$. Because the representation $x$ by a reduced word is unique, k is well-defined. We must show it is a homomorphism.

We first prove a preliminary result. Given a word $=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of positive length in the elements of the semi rings $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}$, let us define () to be the element of K given by the equation

Where is any index such that. Now is unique useless; hence is well-defined. If is the empty word. Let() equal the identity element of K . We show that if is a word obtained from by applying one of our reduction operations, .

Suppose first that is obtained by deleting from the word. Then the equation follows from the fact that. Second, suppose that and that

The fact that
Where, implies that
It follows at once that if is any word in the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ that represents x, then . For by definition of $k$, this equation holds for any reduced word ; and the process of reduction does not change the value of.

Now we show that $h$ is a homomorphism. Suppose that and are words representing x and y respectively. Let denote the word, which represents xy . It follows from equation $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ that Then $k(x y)=k(x) k(y)$.

We now consider the problem of taking an arbitrary family of semi rings $\left\{S_{\alpha}\right\}$ and finding a semi ring S that contains $S^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$ isomorphic to the semi rings $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}$, such that S is the free product of the semi rings $S^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$. This can, in fact, be done; it leads to the notion of external free product.

Definition 2. Let $\left\{S_{a\}}\right\}_{\alpha J}$ be an indexed family of semi rings. Suppose that S is a semi
ring, and that is a family of monomorphisms, such that $S$ is the free product of the semi rings. Then we say that S is the external free product of the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$, relative to the monomorphisms .

The semi ring $S$ is not unique, of course; we show later that it is unique up to isomorphism. Constructing S is much more difficult than constructing the external direct sum was:

Theorem 1. Given a family $\left\{S_{\alpha\}}\right\}_{\alpha}$ of semi rings, there exists a semi ring $S$ and a family of monomorphisms such that S is the free product of the semi rings

Proof. For convenience, we assume that the semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ are disjoints as sets. (This can be accomplished by replacing $S_{\alpha}$ by $S_{\alpha} \mathrm{X}\{\alpha\}$ for each index $\alpha$, if necessary.)

Then as before, we define a word (of length $n$ ) in the elements of the semi rings $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}$ to be an $n$-tuple of elements. It is called a reduced word if for all $i$, where is the index such that, and if for each $\mathrm{i}, x_{i}$ is not the identity element of. We define the empty set to be the unique reduced word of length zero. Note that we are not given a semi ring S that contains all the $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}$ as subsemi rings, so we cannot speak of a word "representing" an element of $S$.

Let $W$ denote the set of all reduced words in the elements of the semi rings $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}$. Let $P(W)$ denote the set of all bijective functions. Then $P(W)$ is itself a semi ring, with composition of functions as the semi ring operation. We shall obtain our desired semi ring S as a subsemi $\operatorname{ring} P(W)$.

Step 1. For each index and each, we define a set map. It will satisfy the following conditions:

- If, the identity element of $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}$, then is the identity map of $W$.
- If $x, y$ and $z=x y$, then

We proceed as follows: Let . For notational purposes, let denote the general non empty element of $W$. and let denote the index such that. If define as follows:

If, define to be the identity map of $W$.
Note that the value of is in each case a reduced word, that is, an element of $W$. In case (i) and (ii), the action of increases the length of the word; in case (iii) it leaves the length unchanged, and in case (iv) it reduces the length of the word. When case (iv) applies to a word of length one, it maps to the empty word.

Step 2. We show that if and $z=x y$, then
The result is trivial if either x or y equals, since in that case is the identity map. So let us assume henceforth that and. We compute the value of and on the reduced word. There are four cases to consider .

- Suppose is the empty word. We have . If, then and by (iv), while equals the same thing because is the identity map. If, then
In the remaining cases, we assume with
- Suppose . Then, then and by (iv), while equals the same because is the identity map. If, then
- Suppose and . Then .IF, then, while equals the same thing because. If, then
- Finally, suppose and. Then which is empty if $n=1$, we compute

Step 3. The map is an element of $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{W})$, and the map defined by is a
monomorphism.
To show that is bijective, we note that if, then conditions (1) and (2) imply that and equal the identity map of $W$. Hence belongs to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})$. The fact that is a homomorphism is a consequence of condition (2). To show that is a monomorphism, we note that if, then, so that is not the identity map of $W$.

Step 4. Let $S$ be the subsemi ring of $P(W)$ generated by the semi rings . we show that $S$ is the free product of the semi rings $S^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$.

First, we show that consists of the identity alone if . Let and ; we suppose that neither nor is the identity map of W and show that. But this is easy, for and, and these are different words,

Second, we show that no nonempty reduced word
In the semi rings $S_{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}$ represents the identity element of $S$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the index such that $x_{i}$; then $\alpha \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ and $x_{i} \neq 1$ for each $i$. We compute

$$
\pi_{\mathrm{x} 1}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{x} 2}\left(\ldots . .\left(\pi_{\mathrm{xn}}()\right)\right)\right)=\left(x_{l}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

So the elements of S represented by is not the identity element of $P(W)$
Although this proof of the existence of free product is certainly correct, it has the disadvantage that it does not provide us with a convenient way of thinking about the elements of the free product. For many purposes this doesn't matter, for the extension condition is the crucial property that is used in the applications. Nevertheless, one would be more comfortable having a more concrete model for the free product.

For the external direct sum, one had such a model. The external direct sum of the Commutative semi rings $S_{\alpha}$ consisted of those elements $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ of the Cartesian productssuch that $x_{\alpha}=, O_{\alpha}$, for all but finitely many $\alpha$, And each semi ring $\mathrm{S}_{\beta}$ was isomorphic to the subsemi ring $S^{\prime}{ }_{\beta}$ consisting of those $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Is there a similar simple model for the free product ? Yes. In the last step of the preceding proof, we showed that if $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{x} 1}, \ldots . . \pi_{\mathrm{xn}}\right)$ is a reduced word in the semi rings $S^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\left.\pi_{\mathrm{x} 1}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{x} 2(\ldots . .}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{xn}}()\right)\right)\right)=\left(x_{l}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

This equation implies that if $\pi$ is any element of $P(W)$ belonging to the free product S , then the assignment $\pi \pi$ (defines a bijective correspondence between S and the set $W$ itself! Furthermore, if $\pi$ and $\pi$ ' are two elements of S such that

$$
\pi\left(= ( x _ { 1 } , \ldots x _ { n } ) \text { and } \pi ^ { \prime } \left(\left(y_{1}, \ldots ., y_{k}\right)\right.\right.
$$

Then $\pi\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is the word obtained by taking the $\operatorname{word}\left(x_{1}, \ldots . x_{n}, y_{l}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ and reducing it!

This gives us a way of thinking about the semi ring S. One can think of S as being simply the set $W$ itself, with the product of two words obtained by juxtaposing them and reducing the result. The identity element corresponds to the empty word. And each semi ring $\mathrm{S}_{\beta}$ corresponds to the subset of $W$ consisting of the empty set and all words of length 1 of the form $(x)$, for $\mathrm{x}_{\beta}$ and $\mathrm{x} 1_{\beta}$.

An immediate question arises: why didn't we use this notion as our definition of the free product? It certainly seems simpler than going by way of the semi ring $P(W)$ of permutations of $W$. The answer is this: Verification of the semi ring axioms is very difficult if one uses this as the definition; associatively in particular is horrendous. The preceding proof of the existence of free products is a model of
simplicity and elegance by comparison!
The extension condition for ordinary free products translates immediately into an extension condition for external free products:

Lemma 2. Let $\left\{\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a family semi rings; let S be a semi ring; let $i_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} S$ be a family of homomorphisms. If each $i_{\alpha}$ is a monomorphism and S is the free product of the semi rings $i_{\alpha}\left(S_{\alpha}\right)$, then $S$ satisfies the following Condition.

Given a semi ring H and a family of homomorhism $\mathrm{k}_{a^{\prime}} S_{a} K$,
${ }^{(*)}$ There exists a homomorphism $k_{:}$Ssuch that o $i_{\alpha}=k_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha$.
Furthermore, k is unique.
An immediate consequence is a uniqueness theorem for free products; the proof is very similar to the corresponding proof for direct sums and is left to the reader.

Theorem 2. Let $\left\{S_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a family of semi rings. Suppose S and S ' are semi rings and $i_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} S$ and $i^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha}$ ' are families of monomorphisms, such that the families $\left\{i_{\alpha}\left(S_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{i^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}\left(S_{a}\right)\right\}$ generate $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, respectively. If both $S$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ have the extension property stated in the preceding lemma, then there is a unique isomorphism: $S^{\prime}$ such that o $i_{\alpha}=i^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha$.

Now, finally, we can prove that the extension condition characterizes free products, proving the converses of Lemma 1 and 2.

Lemma 3. Let $\left\{S_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a family of semi rings; Let $S$ be asemi ring;let $i_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} S$ family of homomorphisms. If the extension condition of Lemma 2 holds, then each $i_{\alpha}$ is a monomorphism and $S$ is the free product of the semi rings $i_{\alpha}\left(S_{a}\right)$.

Proof. We first show that each $i_{\alpha}$ is a monomorphism. Given an index $\beta$, let us set $\mathrm{K}=$ $S$. Let $\mathrm{k}_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha}$ be the identity if $\alpha=\beta$, and the trivial homomorphism if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Let $h: S K$ be the homomorphism given by the extension condition. Then $k o i_{\beta}=k_{\beta}$, so that $i_{\beta}$ is injective.

By Theorem 1, there exists a semi ring $S^{\prime}$ and a family $i^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} S^{\prime}$ of monomorhisms such that $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ is the free product of the semi rings $i^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}\left(S_{a}\right)$. Both $S$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ have the extension property of Lemma 2 . The preceding theorem then implies that there is an isomorphism: $S^{\prime}$ such that o $i_{\alpha}=i^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$. It follows at once that S is the free product of the semi rings $i_{\alpha}\left(S_{\alpha}\right)$

Corollary 1. Let $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{I} * S_{2}$, where $\mathrm{S}_{I}$ is the free product of the subsemi rings $\left\{K_{a}\right\}$ and $S_{2}$ is the free product of the subsemi rings $\left\{K_{\beta}\right\}$ If the index sets $J$ and $K$ are disjoint, then $S$ is the free product of the subsemi rings $\{K$

## Proof.

This result implies in particular that

$$
S_{1 *} S_{2 *} S_{3}=S_{1 *}\left(S_{2} * S_{3}\right)=\left(S_{1 *} S_{2}\right) * S_{3}
$$

In order to state the next theorem, we must recall some terminology from semi ring theory. If $x$ and $y$ are elements of a semi ring S , we say that $y$ is conjugate to $x$ if $y$
$=c x c^{-1}$ for some $c$. A normal subsemi ring of S is one that contains all conjugates of its elements.

If $S^{*}$ is a subset of S , one can consider the intersection $N$ of all normal subsemi rings of $S$ that contain $S^{*}$. It is easy to see that $N$ is itself a normal subsemi ring of $S$; it is called the least normal subsemi ring of $S$ that contains $S^{*}$.

Theorem 3. Let $S=S_{I} * S_{2}$. Let $N_{i}$ be a normal subsemi ring of $\mathrm{S}_{i}$, for $i=1$, 2 . If $N$ is the least normal subsemi ring of $S$ that contains $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, then

$$
\mathrm{S} / N\left(S_{1} / N_{1}\right) *\left(S_{2} / N_{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. The composite of the inclusion and projection homomorphisms

$$
\mathrm{S}_{I I} * S_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{I} * S_{2}\right) / N
$$

Carries N1 to the identity element, so that it induces a homomorphism

$$
i_{1}: S_{I} / N_{l}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{I} * S_{2}\right) / N
$$

Similarly, the composite of the inclusion and projection homomorphisms induces a homomorphism

$$
i_{2}: S_{2} / N_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1} * S_{2}\right) / N .
$$

We show that the extension condition of Lemma 3 holds with respect to $i_{1}$ and $\mathrm{i}_{2}$; it follows that $\mathrm{i}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ are monomorphisms and that $\left(S_{1} * S_{2}\right) / N$ is the external free product of $\mathrm{S}_{1} / N_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2} / N_{2}$ relative to these monomorphisms.

So let $\mathrm{k}_{1}: S_{l} / N_{l}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}: S_{2} / N_{2} K$ be arbitrary homomorphisms. The extension condition for $S_{1} * S_{2}$ implies that there is a homomorphism of $S_{1} * S_{2}$ into $K$ that equals the composite.

$$
S_{i i} / N_{i}
$$

Of the projection map and $\mathrm{k}_{i}$ on $S_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. This homomorphism carries the elements of $N_{l}$ and $N_{2}$ to the identity element, so its kernel contains $N$. Therefore it induces a homomorphism $\mathrm{k}_{1}=k o i_{1}$ that satisfies the conditions $k_{2}=k o i_{2}$.

Corollary 2. If $N$ is the least normal subsemi ring of $\mathrm{S}_{I} * S_{2}$ that contains $S_{1}$, then $\left(S_{I} *\right.$ $\left.S_{2}\right) / N_{2}$.

The notion of "least normal subsemi ring" is a concept that will appear frequently as we proceed. Obviously, if $N$ is the least normal subsemi ring of S containing the subset $S^{I}$ of $G$, then $N$ contains $S$ and all conjugates of elements of $S$. For later use, we now verify that these elements actually generate $N$.

Lemma 4. Let $S^{*}$ be a subset of the semi ring S . If $N$ is the least normal subsemi ring of S containing $S^{*}$, then $N$ is generated by all conjugates of elements of S .

Proof. Let $N^{\prime}$ be the subsemi ring of S generated by all conjugates of elements of $S$. We know that ; to verify the reverse inclusion, we need merely show that $N^{\prime}$ is normal in
S. Given $-x N^{\prime}$ and $c S$, we show that $c x c^{-1} N^{\prime}$.

We can write $x$ in the form $x=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$, where each $x_{i}$ is conjugate to an elements $s_{i}$ of $S$. Then $c x_{i} c^{-1}$ is also conjugate to Si . Because

$$
c x c^{-1}=\left(c x_{1} c^{-1}\right)\left(c x_{2} c^{-1}\right) \ldots\left(c x_{n} c^{-1}\right)
$$

$c x c^{-1}$ is a product of conjugates of elements of S , so that $c x c^{-1} N$ 'as desired.
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